PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference W1.2389PCT			eference	FOR FURTHER A	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416				
International application No.				International filing da	te (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)				
PCT	/EP2	004/	051406	08.07.200	4	11.07.2003				
	International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC B41F13/02									
Applicant KOENIG & BAUER AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT										
1.				ninary examination rep e applicant according to		nternational Preliminary Examining Authority				
2.	This R	EPORT co	onsists of a total of	11	sheets, including	this cover sheet.				
3.	This re	port is als	o accompanied by Al	NNEXES, comprising:						
	a. 🔀	(sent	to the applicant and	to the International Bu	reau) a total of	sheets, as follows:				
						mended and are the basis for this report and/or e 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative				
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.									
	b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s))									
	, containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).									
4.	This re	port conta	ains indications relati	ng to the following iter	ns:					
	\boxtimes	Box No.	I Basis of the	report						
		Box No.	II Priority							
		Box No.	III Non-establi	shment of opinion with	th regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
	\boxtimes	Box No.	IV Lack of unit	ty of invention						
	\boxtimes	Box No.		atement under Article description description at the description of the description at the description of th	35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; ing such statement					
	Ш	Box No.	VI Certain doc	uments cited						
	\boxtimes	Box No.	VII Certain defe	ects in the international	1 application					
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application										
Date of submission of the demand					Date of completion of thi	s report				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP					Authorized officer					
Feedimile No.					Telephone No.					

Translation

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/051406

Box	No. I	Basis of the report									
1.		n regard to the language, this report is based on the interna cated under this item.	to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise der this item.								
	This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:										
		international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))									
	publication of the international application (Rule 12.4)										
2.	sheets which have been furnished to the riginally filed" and are not annexed to										
the international application as originally filed/furnished											
		the description:									
		pages <u>1-22</u>		as originally filed/furnished							
		pages*									
		pages*	received by this Authority on								
	\boxtimes	the claims:									
		nos.		as originally filed/furnished							
		nos.*	as amended (togethe	er with any statement) under Article 19							
		nos.* 1-42	received by this Authority on	/filed with the demand							
		nos.*	received by this Authority on								
	X	the drawings:									
		sheets 1/5-5/5		as originally filed/furnished							
			received by this Authority on								
			<u> </u>								
			•								
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supp	olemental Box Relating to Sequence I	Listing.							
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:									
		the description, pages									
		the claims, nos.									
		the drawings, sheets/figs									
		the sequence listing (specify):									
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):									
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the ar they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure	nendments annexed to this report an as filed, as indicated in the Suppleme	d listed below had not been made, since ental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).							
		the description, pages									
		the claims, nos.									
		the drawings, sheets/figs									
		the sequence listing (specify):									
_	76:4	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify): tem 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked	"superseded"	·							

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/051406

Box No. 1	IV Lack of unity of invention	
1.	In response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has: restricted the claims. paid additional fees. paid additional fees under protest. neither restricted the claims nor paid additional fees.	
2.	This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.	chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite
3. This	is Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 1: complied with. not complied with for the following reasons: See Supplemental Box	3.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is:
4. Co	onsequently, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international parts. the parts relating to claims Nos.	onal application:

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/051406

Box			rticle 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; apporting such statement				
1.	Statement						
:	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-42	YES			
		Claims		NO			
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-42	YES			
		Claims		NO			
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-42	YES			
		Claims		NO			
I							

- 2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)
 - 1. The prior art closest to the subject matter of claim 1 is described in document EP-A-1048460 (D2), which discloses a process for influencing the fan-out effect, an image being first taken by a sensor (5) in the form of a CCD camera which senses markings on a web and, in the event of a deviation from a prescribed set value, a regulation command is transmitted to a regulation element for influencing the fan-out effect.

The subject matter of claim 1 differs from D2 in that

- the sensor detects the printed image over a scanning width of at least one fourth of the web width, and
- the axial position of the pixels in two printed image sections of a colour separation of a particular colour is compared with a reference position, in particular with a relative reference position, for the pixels of both printed image sections, and
- the position of defined pixels or picture zones of the colour separation of said colour from

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

image data of the preliminary printing stage is used as reference position.

The subject matter of claim 1 is therefore novel.

This combination of features appears to reduce the technical complexity of the machinery used.

Document EP-A-1300243, which was cited by the applicant, uses data from the preliminary printing stage in order to generate set values for comparison purposes, but only in connection with the longitudinal register control.

Consequently, proceeding from D2, a person skilled in the art would have needed to implement several non-obvious steps in order to arrive at the subject matter of claim 1.

Neither D2, nor any of the remaining documents, or a direct combination of their teachings, could have suggested this constellation of features in claim 1 for this alleged purpose.

Claim 1 therefore involves an inventive step and, together with the developments in dependent claims 7, 11, 12 and 38-42, meets the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) to 33(4).

2. The prior art closest to the subject matter of claims 2, 4 and 29 is document DE-U-29501373 (D1) (cf. page 5, last paragraph - page 6, paragraph 2;

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

claims 9 and 10; figures 1, 5, 6), which describes a process for influencing the fan-out effect by means of a device for influencing the fan-out effect, using a sensor mechanism (58-61) which is part of a lateral register control/regulation unit (63), parts of a lateral register control/regulation unit (63) and measurement values (a1-a4) from a lateral register control/regulation unit (63) for driving a device (11, 12, 67) for influencing the fan-out effect.

2.1 The subject matter of claim 2 differs from D1 in that the lateral register is corrected by the lateral register control/regulation unit by means of an actuator when the printed image as a whole deviates laterally from its set position, and correction is carried out by the device for influencing the fan-out effect when the analysis of the results reveals that the set position has been taken but that the printed image is distorted or widened.

The subject matter of claim 2 is therefore novel.

This differentiating regulation process cannot be found in any of the remaining documents, and therefore a process as per claim 2 for achieving an economic regulation architecture is not obviously suggested by the prior art.

Claim 2 therefore involves an inventive step and, together with its dependent claims, meets the

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

requirements of PCT Article 33(1) to 33(4).

2.2 The subject matter of claims 4 and 29, which are corresponding claims, differs from D1 in that the image supplied by a sensor which detects the printed image over a scanning width of at least one fourth the web width is analysed in order to determine the fan-out effect.

The subject matter of claims 4 and 29 is therefore novel.

This reduces the complexity of the technical machinery required, in comparison with D1.

Although sensors for detecting the entire web width are known from the prior art, replacing the different sensors required in D1 does not appear to be possible without further adaptations, and therefore this combination of features does not appear to be obvious either.

Claims 4 and 29 therefore obviously involve an inventive step and, together with their dependent claims, meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) to 33(4).

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/051406

Į	Box	No. VII Cer	tain defe	cts in the inter	nationa	l application							
İ	The	following defects in	n the form	or contents of	the inte	rnational appl	ication	have been n	oted:				
		Document	DE1	9501373	U1,	which	is	cited	on	page	1 of	the	
		applicat	ion,	cannot	be	found	. It	appe	ars	to co	orres	pond	to
		document	D1.										
١													
	I												

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

The application does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 6 because claim 1 is unclear.

Page 2, paragraphs 3 and 4, and pages 11-18 (in particular page 15, paragraph 3 - page 16, paragraph 1) of the description indicate that the main concept of the present invention is that of using the same measurement and/or control means for correcting lateral register and fan-out errors, thus reducing technical complexity.

Consequently, the features defined in claims 2, 4 and 29 are necessary for the definition of the invention.

Since independent claim 1 does not contain these features, it does not meet the requirement of PCT Article 6 in conjunction with PCT Rule 6.3(b), according to which each independent claim must include all the technical features that are necessary for the definition of the invention.

If dependent on claim 1, claim 22 is contradictory.

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/051406

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

BOXES I AND IV

BOX I

Basis of the report

The amendments submitted with the letter of 27 April 2005 introduce substantive matter which goes beyond the original disclosure in the international application as filed, thereby contravening PCT Article 34(2)(b). This concerns the following amendments:

The present claim 4 combines the original claims 4 and 6, and the present claim 5 refers back to claim 4.

The combination of embodiments in the original claims 5 and 6 is now claimed, while in the original disclosure, the embodiments in claims 5 and 6 were separated and no indication was given of their combination.

Their combination is therefore excluded from this report.

BOX IV

Lack of unity of invention

The International Searching Authority has determined that this international application contains multiple (groups of) inventions which are not linked by a single general inventive concept (PCT Rule 13.1), as follows:

I: claim 1: scanning width of a sensor equal to a fourth

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/051406

Supplemental Box

of the web width for influencing the fan-out effect
II: claim 2: influencing the lateral register and the
fan-out effect by means of common features

The reasons therefor are as follows:

The general idea that links independent claim 1 to independent claim 2 is essentially that of a process for influencing the fan-out effect, the measurement values supplied by a sensor being used to influence the fan-out effect.

However, this idea is not novel (cf. document EP-A-1048460, paragraphs [0079] and [0080]). Consequently, there is no technical relationship between claims 1 and 2, within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2, and the unity of invention required by PCT Rule 13.1 is absent.

The remaining independent claims appear to possess unity of invention.